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**Abstract**

**Introduction:** Finishing and polishing materials did not develop as the composites improved in these last years. The nanometric load particles were firstly and only incorporated in the polishing pastes formulation in this *in vitro* and *in situ* study.

**Objective:** This study evaluated the biofilm retention of two different composite resins - Vit-l-escence™ (microhybrid) and Filtek™ Z350 XT (Nanofilled) after finishing and polishing technique, quantification and *in situ* comparison of the polishing pastes effectiveness with micrometric loads (Enamelize™ and Diamond Polish Paste™) and Nanofilled load - Lummina-E (Alumina and Diamond).

**Materials and Methods:** Ten volunteers were selected for the *in situ* biofilm evaluation, a palatal device made of acrylic resin was confectioned, and each intra-oral device had fixed six specimens from each experimental group. After exposition to 20% sacarosis, the biofilm from each specimen was extracted in NaOH 1.0 M and quantified by absorbance spectrophotometer. The data were submitted to analysis of variance, followed for multiple comparisons of averages for the ad hoc de Dunn test, both at 1% significance level.

**Results:** Vit-l-escence™ resin showed lower biofilm retention than Filtek Z350™ XT in all the tested groups. The lowest biofilm retention was after aluminum oxide paste (Lummina-E - Alumina) polishing.

**Conclusion:** The Vit-l-escence™ (microhybrid) composite resin exhibited lower biofilm retention than Filtek™ Z350 XT (nanofilled) resin among all the tested groups. The lowest *in situ* biofilm retention occurred at the surfaces treated with Lummina - E Alumina (folder-based aluminum oxide) nanometric prototype.
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**Introduction**

The dental restoration performed by the dentist aims to restore the anatomical, functional and aesthetic normality to the destroyed teeth from carie, trauma, congenital malformation, iatrogenic and possible combinations among these factors. However, the failure or success of any cosmetic restoration depends on the chosen material,¹⁻² as well as color stability and its physicochemical properties.³⁻⁴

The restorations success depends on smooth exposed surface preservation without any pathological alteration with periodontal structures. There is an unceasing search for a compatible restorative material to the adjacent tooth structures once the composite resin retains more biofilm percentage than the dental surface.⁵⁻⁶

After some time, the chewing and tooth brushing cause abrasion exposing inorganic particles⁷ producing a roughened surface⁸ regardless of finishing and polishing procedure. The dental materials industry has been concerned about composites development with smaller⁹ and more regular particles, in order to improve the surface smoothness.⁶⁻¹⁰⁻¹¹ promote lower biofilm...
retention\cite{14} and obtain better optical properties with higher esthetic quality, longer restorations durability especially in roughness and superficial brightness.\cite{15}

Hence, the mechanical and physicochemical properties of composites must be known once the load particles structure and features have a direct impact on the surface smoothness and extrinsic staining susceptibility; as well the finishing and polishing procedures may influence the composite surface quality. Thus, the bigger the particle load, the greater the surface roughness.\cite{17,18} Consequently, the biofilm retention will be higher on the great roughness surfaces.\cite{17,18}

The oral environment is greatest responsible for the composites chemical degradation, the presence of biofilm favors the restorative material surface staining due to the production of organic acids\cite{19-21} regardless the presence of abrasive forces and compression in the oral cavity. These acids promote higher susceptibility to restoration softening and surface texture alteration. Human saliva contains cholesterol esterase and active hydrolases, increasing the composites biodegradation.\cite{22} There are also glycoproteins and mucin that form the acquired pellicle and favor the bacterial colonization on tooth surfaces.\cite{23}

The purpose of this study was to evaluate two types of composite resin, microhybrid and nanofilled, submitted to different finishing and polishing techniques using an in situ methodology.

**Materials and Methods**

Two different light-curing composite resins, suitable for direct esthetic restorations were selected. This study was performed an in situ methodology with volunteers from both genders, undergraduate students of dentistry school. The employed composites and their features are described in Table 1.

The specimens were made using a stainless steel device with cylinders (2 mm height x 6 mm diameter). Polyester matrix strips (K Dent - Quimidrol* - Joinville, SC, Brazil) were used at the light cure time of the last resin increment and at the bottom portion in order to standardize the surface texture.

The specimens were fixed in glass plates, submerged in distilled water inside plastic containers at 37°C ± 1°C (Cz, model 480 Es, Olidef*, Ribeirin Preto, SP, Brazil). After 24 h, the specimens were removed from the water, dried with air blow and the surface finishing was performed. The polishing and final gloss materials are shown in Table 2.

The specimens were polished with Sof-Lex Pop On sequential discs, intermittently following only one direction and at a low speed with water and after 30 s, it was discarded. Then, the specimens were washed with air/water spray to remove debris, dried with air spray, and submitted to another lower granulation disc, total of four disks and polishing for 2 min for each sample. After polishing, the specimens were subjected to final polishing and gloss, associating polishing discs and abrasive pastes for the final gloss. Thus, a total of 120 specimens were divided into 12 experimental groups, 6 groups to Vit-l-escence™ resin and 6 groups to Filtek™ Z350 XT resin experimental groups.

Ten volunteers, aged between 18 and 30, healthy, presenting a suitable control of oral care, low caries index and normal salivary flow, were selected for the in situ biofilm retention evaluation. Dental impressions were taken from the upper arch, and a model made of stone plaster was obtained. A palatal acrylic plate was made from this model with six specimens, each one was from the experimental groups, were fixed one millimeter below the resin surface to facilitate the biofilm retention [Figure 1]. A of 20% sucrose solution was dripped eight times a day over the specimens to stimulate biofilm formation.

The specimens of each intra-oral device day over the specimens to stimuli in situ stage was at the first 7 days; 10 volunteers used the intra-oral device with six specimens made of Vit-l-escence™ resin, the specimens were removed at the end of the 7th, following a sequence of specimens day schedule of the intra-oral device [Figure 2] for biofilm quantification using a spectrophotometer (Model 700S - FEMTO™ Industry and

| Table 1: Composite resins and manufacturer’s specifications |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Composite resin                  | Vit-l-escence™(M₁) | Filtek™ Z350 XT (M₂) |
| Load particle                    | Microhybrid       | Nanopatterned    |
| Polymeric matrix                 | Bis-GMA           | Bis-GMA, Bis-EMA and TEGDMA |
| Particle type and size           | 0.7 μm coloidal silica | 20 nm non-agglomerated primary silica |
|                                  | 20 nm non-agglomerated primary silica | 5-20 nm zirconia/silica agglomerates from 0.6-1.4 μm |
| % load particle (weight)         | 56.0              | 78.5             |
| Shade                            | A2                | A2E               |
| Manufacturer                     | Ultradent Corporation (Chicago, USA) | 3M – ESPE Dental products (St. Paul, USA) |
| Lot                              | B5L7Y             | N111499/6018A2    |

**Figure 1:** Intra-oral device used in this study
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To quantify the biofilm, each specimen was placed into identified microtube with 1.5 ml of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) - 1.0 M and mechanically shaken inside the high frequency shaker tubes (Shaker - Model MA 563, orbital ™- TECNAL Laboratory Equipment, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil) for 10 s. The tubes remained under stirring for 3 h, afterwards the tubes were centrifuged (Microcentrifuge –iModelo SPIN 1, Instrumentacib Cienttment Inddttmen e ComComme Limitada, S mitada, cibrBrasil) for 10 min at the speed of 16000 rpm. The precipitate was discarded and the remaining was subjected to absorbance spectrophotometer reading (Modelo 700S 700S d ™IndoS 70 e ComCom 7 de Instrumentos, S strumentos, oBrasil) at the wavelength of 280 nm; and in order to calibrate the equipment, NaOH solution - 1.0 M with the specimen without biofilm was used.

Statistical methodology

The absorbance data from the spectrophotometer were used for the in situ biofilm evaluation. The data were submitted to statistical analysis of variance by multiple comparisons of averages for the ad hoc Dunn test, both at the significance level of 1%. Intervals of 95% confidence for the population means were used in order to quantify the difference between the roughness means from the different experimental groups.

Results

Table 4 shows the results from the Vit-l-escence™ resin specimens submitted to six polishing steps: G1 - reControl (Standardization - K Dent 1 Quimidrol™ polyester strips); G2 – olyeste– Quimidrol™ polyester strips + Sof-Lex Pop On™Abrasive Discs; G3 – isc; GDQuimidrol™ polyester strips + Sof-Lex Pop On™A abrasive Discs; + Diamond Polish Paste™; G4 – Gscs; – Quimidrol™ polyester strips + Sof-Lex Pop On™A Abrasive Discs+ Enamelize™;
Table 4: M and SD of the biofilm absorbance spectrophotometry results from Vi-l-escence™ resin after different polishing techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental groups</th>
<th>G₁</th>
<th>G₂</th>
<th>G₃</th>
<th>G₄</th>
<th>G₅</th>
<th>G₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0030±0.0044⁴</td>
<td>0.0421±0.0222⁵</td>
<td>0.0173±0.0186⁶</td>
<td>0.0096±0.0114⁷</td>
<td>0.0029±0.0073⁸</td>
<td>0.0017±0.038⁹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Superscript letters indicate similarity statistics (α=0.05). M: Mean, SD: Standard deviation

Table 5: M and SD of the biofilm absorbance spectrophotometry results from Filtek™ Z2350 XT resin after different polishing techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental groups</th>
<th>G₁</th>
<th>G₂</th>
<th>G₃</th>
<th>G₄</th>
<th>G₅</th>
<th>G₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0122±0.0217</td>
<td>0.0434±0.0277</td>
<td>0.0296±0.0296</td>
<td>0.0252±0.0250</td>
<td>0.0214±0.0176</td>
<td>0.0197±0.0151</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: Mean, SD: Standard deviation

Figure 3: Mean and standard deviation of the absorbance spectrophotometry results from the Vit-l-escence™ resin after different polishing techniques

Figure 4: Mean and standard deviation of the absorbance spectrophotometry results from the Filtek™ Z350 XT resin after different polishing techniques

Figure 5: Mean and standard deviation of the absorbance spectrophotometry results from Vi-l-escence™ and Filtek™ Z350 XT resins after different polishing techniques

Discussion

The Filtek™ Z350 XT nanofilled or nanoagglomerated composite resin present relatively smaller filler loader, which tend to cluster in larger agglomerates due to the repulsive and cohesion force of from nanometric load⁹,¹⁰ - when compared to Vit-l-escence™ microhybrid resin. Another important factor is the Knoop hardness of inorganic load filler from composite resins. Composite with silica load filler - Vit-l-escence™ - are less resistant to wear than quartz or zirconia - Filtek™ Z350 XT composites.⁵

The polishing from Sof-Lex On™ disks system presented significantly higher biofilm retention average than the averages from the all other groups at 1% level, from each material analyzed.
[Tables 4 and 5]. The lowest roughness average among the materials at 1% level or less was found in M1 (Vit-L-escence\(^\text{TM}\)) and the highest in M2 (Filtek\(^\text{TM}\) Z350 XT) composites. This difference from disks system is explained by the size of the impregnated abrasive particles. The Sof-Lex Pop On system specification and features show great difference between its granulation and the load particle size from composites inorganic filler - M1 (Vit-L-escence\(^\text{TM}\)) and M2 (Filtek\(^\text{TM}\) Z350 XT), tested in this experiment. The greater the Knoop hardness difference between the substrate and abrasive agent, higher is the potential for wear, while the lower the discrepancy between the abrasive particles size from the discs and the substrate to be worn, damage occurrence such as risks and grooves on the worn surface is decreased.

Although the Diamond Paste Polish (0.5 \(\mu\)m) and Enamelize\(^\text{TM}\) (0.7 \(\mu\)m) have abrasive particles with different size and Knoop hardness - Diamond Paste Polish\(^\text{TM}\) (\(D = 7000-10000\) kg/mm\(^2\)) and Enamelize\(^\text{TM}\) (\(D = 2100\) kg/mm\(^2\)), they were statistically similar, since both pastes exhibited satisfactory absorbance values, due to the wear potential (Knoop hardness \(\times\) particle size).

Only Group 6 (G6) - polishing with abrasive paste Lummina - E Alumina-exhibited statistically lower values of biofilm retention than the control group (G1) - K Dent - Quimidrol - Strip Polyester. The results were similar in Group 5 (G1 and G5). These facts are due to the formation of a microcrystalline layer of ionic repulsion that hinders the biofilm adhesion.

**Conclusion**

The Vit-L-escence\(^\text{TM}\) (microhybrid) composite resin exhibited lower biofilm retention than Filtek\(^\text{TM}\) Z350 XT (nanofilled) resin among all the tested groups. The lowest *in situ* biofilm retention occurred at the surfaces treated with Lummina - E Alumina (folder-based aluminum oxide) nanometric prototype.
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